

January 23, 2002

January 23, 2003

Mr. Terry D. Lindsey, Manager
Radiation Protection Services
Department of Human Services
800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 260
Portland, OR 97232-2162

Dear Mr. Lindsey:

As requested, we have reviewed final Oregon Administrative Rules (Div. 333), Radiation Protection Services, received electronically on December 3, 2002, with clarifying material arriving electronically on January 7, 2003. In addition, we reviewed your responses to our letter dated October 17, 2002

**State
Regulation**

**NRC
Section**

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Propose00 Tz 0 Tr 11.04	0 0 11.04c 0.0042 Tw el6 0.96 116.4 re f.o.04 42 520.00DC	BT /TTs0 1 Tf -0.00
				<hr/>	
				<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's Response
				<hr/>	

**State
Regulation**

**NRC
Section**

Category

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's Response
33-120-0600	20.2101	C	<p>General provisions</p> <p>The State did not change "eye dose" to "lens dose" throughout the entire section 33-120.</p> <p>The State needs to update Section 33-120 to include this to</p>	<hr/> <hr/>	


acle S t2oT9 T* (acle S t2oT9 T* e "eyelity.9 >>BDC BT /TT1 1 Tf 0.0103 Tc 0.0016 Tw 11.04 0 0 11.04 258

State Regulation	NRC				
				<hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's Response .f Oregon's R7sponse .f
				<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on		
				<hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's State Response
333-102-306	70.38	H&S	<p>Expiration and termination of licenses and decommissioning of sites and separate buildings or outdoor areas</p> <p>The State does not include the requirements for expiration and termination of licenses and decommissioning of sites and separate buildings or outdoor areas for special nuclear material.</p> <p>The State needs to add the requirements as stated in 70.38, paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j) & (k)(1), (2) & (3).</p>	<p>Expiration and termination of licenses and decommissioning of sites and separate buildings or outdoor areas</p> <p>The State does not include the requirements for expiration and termination of licenses and decommissioning of sites and separate buildings or outdoor areas for special nuclear material.</p> <p><u>333-102-0306 has been deleted and all references to 10 CFR requirements retained.</u></p>	<p>Not Compatible</p> <p>In 333-102-0200 the State has referenced</p>



A thin horizontal line extending from the left edge of the page towards the right.

A thin horizontal line extending from the left edge of the page towards the right, located at the very bottom of the page.

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's Response
333-120-0015 and/or 333-100-0005				<hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations	State Response to NRC Comments on Oregon Proposed Regulations	NRC Review of Oregon's Response
333-116-0020	35.2			<hr/>	

State Regulation	NRC2 307.2 62e(Regulation 8*)Tecj ET EMC /TD	<</MCID 1 >>BDC2 BT /TT0 1 Tf -0.0119egul1704 0 0 11.04	132 523.946 rem (NR

State Regulation	NRC Section	Category	NRC comment on Proposed Oregon Regulations		

--	--	--	--	--

